

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

The

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,194	12/23/2005	Jurgen Stetter	710270-030	4116
7590 11/16/2007 Robert L Stearns			EXAMINER	
Dickinson Wright			LEE, GILBERT Y	
38525 Woodward Avenue Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1		The second secon				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/562,194	STETTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gilbert Y. Lee	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilized to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 O	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 October 2007</u> .					
,_	· <del>-</del>					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-19 and 21-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-19 and 21-26 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3673

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/24/07 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 12-19, 21, 23, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weiss et al. (US Patent No. 5,727,791).

Note that the gasket of the Weiss et al. reference is being rotated 180 degrees.

Regarding claim 12, the Weiss et al. reference discloses a cylinder head gasket (1) for an internal combustion engine (Col. 1, Lines 9-15), insertable for the sealing of components having at least one T-junction (Fig. 2), said gasket having at least one functional layer (including 1' and 1'''), at least one distance layer (5), and at least one seal element (12) provided in the region of the T-junction (Fig. 4) wherein the distance

Art Unit: 3673

layer has at least one recessed region (e.g. including 3 and 7) extending into one side of said distance layer less than completely through said distance layer to a seal engaging bottom surface (e.g. surface of element 5 in contact with element 12), said recessed region having a boundary region (e.g. ends of 7 furthest from 3) extending from said one side of said distance layer to said seal engaging bottom surface (Figs. 4 and 5), said recessed region receiving a portion of said seal element in engagement with said seal engaging bottom surface (Fig. 4), said portion of said seal element being spaced from at least a portion of said boundary region (Fig. 5) to provide a void space (e.g. space between ends of 7 and seal element 12) between said seal element and said boundary region.

Regarding claim 13, the Weiss et al. reference discloses the seal element protruding outwardly from the recessed region in the direction of at least one of the sealed components (Fig. 4) and being detached from said at least one functional layer (Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 14, the Weiss et al. reference discloses the distance layer abutting said at least one functional layer (Fig. 4), said at least one functional layer having an opening (e.g. portion of 2 that would be above 3) at least partially above said recessed portion sized to receive said seal element therethrough in detached fashion (Col. 4, Lines 36-41). Note that the distance layer of the Weiss et al. reference abuts the functional layer through element 1".

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claim 15, the Weiss et al. reference discloses the seal engaging bottom surface of said recessed region having a larger surface (e.g. surface of element 5 contacting 12) in cross-section than the cross-section of said opening (Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 16, the Weiss et al. reference discloses at least a part of said opening being provided above the recessed region and being essentially parallel thereto (Fig. 4). Note that the gasket of the Weiss et al. reference is being considered rotated 180 degrees.

Regarding claim 17, the Weiss et al. reference discloses the recessed region being generally oval in form (Fig. 5-7).

Regarding claim 18, the Weiss et al. reference discloses the seal element being partially supported on said one side of the distance portion surrounding the recessed region (e.g. Fig. 5).

Regarding claim 19, the Weiss et al. reference discloses the seal element being arranged in the recessed region without contacting the entire boundary region (e.g. end of 7 furthest from 3) of the recessed region and is supported by said bottom surface of the recessed region (Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 21, the Weiss et al. reference discloses at least one hollow channel (e.g. portions of 2 above 7) being formed in said functional layer, said hollow channel extending outwardly from said opening in open communication said opening and said seal element being partially received in said channel (Fig. 4).

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claim 23, the Weiss et al. reference discloses said opening in said functional layer being at least partially spaced from said seal element (e.g. opening 2 at regions 7).

Regarding claim 25, the Weiss et al. reference discloses a cylinder head gasket (1) for an internal combustion engine (Col. 1, Lines 9-15), insertable for the sealing of components having at least one T-junction (Fig. 2), said gasket having at least one functional layer (including 1' and 1"), at least one distance layer (5), and at least one seal element (12) provided in the region of the T-junction (Fig. 4) wherein the distance layer has at least one recessed region (e.g. including 3 and 7) extending into one side of said distance layer less than completely through said distance layer to a seal engaging bottom surface (e.g. surface of element 5 in contact with element 12), said recessed region having a boundary region (e.g. ends of 7 furthest from 3) extending from said one side of said distance layer to said seal engaging bottom surface (Figs. 4 and 5), said at least one functional layer abutting said one side of said distance layer (Fig. 4) and having an opening (e.g. 2 in Fig. 4) at least partially above said recessed region, said recessed region receiving a portion of said seal element in engagement with said seal engaging bottom surface and said seal element extending through said opening in said functional layer (Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 26, the Weiss reference discloses said seal element being spaced from at least a portion of said boundary region generally beneath said opening in said functional layer (Fig. 5) to provide a void space (e.g. space between ends of 7 and seal element 12) between said seal element and said boundary region.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss et al. in view of Kubouchi et al. (US Patent No. 5,544,901).

Regarding claim 22, the Weiss et al. reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed in claim 12, including the sealing element being made of plastic (Col. 4, Lines 20-24).

However, the Weiss et al. reference fails to explicitly disclose the sealing element being made of silicone.

The Kubouchi et al., a cylinder head gasket including a sealing element, discloses that a sealing element can be made of resin or silicone rubber.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the sealing element of the Weiss et al. reference with silicone rubber in view of the teachings of the Kubouchi et al. reference in order to provide a material that is more malleable.

4. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss et al. in view of Egloff (US Pub. No. 2001/0052674).

Art Unit: 3673

Regarding claim 24, the Weiss et al. reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed in claim 12, including the seal engaging bottom surface being curved.

However, the Weiss et al. reference fails to explicitly disclose the seal engaging bottom surface being planar.

The Egloff reference, a gasket, discloses making a bead (6) surrounding an opening in a gasket either curved or planar (Figs. 3-11).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a planar bead to the Weiss et al. reference in view of the teachings of the Egloff reference in order to provide more uniform pressure to the sealing material when installed.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regards to the applicant's argument of claim 12, the argument is not persuasive because Figure 5 of the Weiss et al. reference clearly shows that the recess region also includes ducts 7 and Col. 4, Line 57-Col. 5, Line 4 clearly discloses "dead space" being present which means that the sealing material does not extend all the way through ducts 7.

With regards to the applicant's arguments of claims 13, 15-17, and 22, the arguments are not persuasive because claim 12 stands rejected.

Art Unit: 3673

With regards to the applicant's argument of claim 14, a new rejection has been made above.

With regards to the applicant's argument of claim 18, the argument is not persuasive because, as stated above, the sealing material does not reach the end limits of duct 7; therefore, the sealing material is partially supported on the one side of the distance layer into which the recessed region is formed surrounding the recessed region.

With regards to the applicant's argument of claim 19, the argument is not persuasive because, as stated above, the sealing material does not reach the end limits of duct 7 as stated in Col. 4, Line 57-Col. 5, Line 4.

With regards to the applicant's argument of claim 21, a new rejection has been made above.

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gilbert Y. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-5894. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia L. Engle can be reached on (571)272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/562,194 Page 9

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GL November 8, 2007

Patricia Engle

Supervisory Examiner

Tech. Center 3600